



Atty. Dkt. No. 057234-0101

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Michael William URBANSKI et al.
Title: METHOD, SYSTEM, AND SOFTWARE FOR
GEOGRAPHICALLY FOCUSED NETWORK
ADVERTISING
Appl. No.: 09/714,665
Filing Date: 11/17/2000
Examiner: Donald Champagne
Art Unit: 3622
Confirmation Number: 4398

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Sir:

In accordance to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133, submitted herewith is a record of the substance of the interview on January 28, 2009, with Examiner Donald Champagne, regarding the above-captioned application. It is submitted that the Interview Summary (a copy of which is attached) provides a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview, per MPEP §713.04. This statement is being submitted within one (1) month of the mailing date of February 6, 2009, of the Interview Summary and is therefore a timely response.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 2/26/09

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5416
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By [Signature]

Brian J. McNamara
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,789

W. Keith Robinson
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 59,396



Interview Summary

Application No.

09/714,665

Applicant(s)

URBANSKI ET AL.

Examiner

Donald L. Champagne

Art Unit

3688

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Donald L. Champagne, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) W. Keith Robinson, Esq.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: All the independent claims.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The atty. asked the examiner to search the spec. for potential allowable matter. A possibility was identified and communicated privately to the atty..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.